GO Green Research and Education

Journal of Business and Management Research

ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066

Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)

The Art of the Banking Deal: Effective Negotiation Strategies for Customers

Abdul Rauf

itute of Banking and Finance, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan at-
abdulrauf.maitla@gmail.com

Rana Ahsan Nazeer

Institute of Banking and Finance, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan at-
shahid6796492@gmail.com

Muhammad Shahid

Institute of Banking and Finance, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan at-
ra179616@gmail.com

Muhammad Ahsan

Silk Bank at-m.ahsan7848@gmail.com

Abstract

Bank customers face obstacles securing favorable terms, but effective negotiation strategies exist. This paper examines customer preparation resources, relationships, confidence levels, and compromise solutions as negotiator attributes impacting bank interactions. Quantitative experiments assessed outcomes, satisfaction, flexibility, and profit constraints across manipulated negotiation episodes. Customers accessing external benchmarking data secured substantially better mortgage rates/fees. Compromise stances also associated strongly with mutual satisfaction levels. Assertive negotiation confidence helped overcome inertia and loss aversion psychological barriers. Bank profit motivations promoted rigid constraints, but small concessions maintained revenue targets. Enhanced information resources and collaborative compromises emerge as key strategies for customers negotiating more successfully with banks.

Keywords: bank negotiations, consumer finance, customer satisfaction, compromise solutions, information barriers, assertive negotiation

Introduction

Bank fees and interest rates can have a major impact on customers' financial lives. However, customers often feel powerless against big banking institutions when it comes to negotiating favorable terms. This paper examines effective strategies that bank customers can employ to negotiate better deals. Past literature establishes negotiation principles and tactics that apply across contexts. However, research specifically investigating bank negotiation dynamics from the customer perspective remains limited.

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)

Literature Review

General negotiation skills and dispositions, including solid preparation, relationship building, compromise, and strategic sequences of offers, apply broadly across negotiation scenarios (Fisher & Ury, 2011). Customers can bolster their position by tracking objective external standards and benchmarks related to reasonable fees (Brett, 2014). However, dynamics particular to bank-customer interactions introduce additional complexities. Information and power asymmetries between large banks and individual customers present obstacles (Pomykacz & Olmstead, 2001). Customers struggle to access clear fee information and interpret complex terms. Simultaneously, banks leverage resources and expertise that customers cannot match.Beyond informational barriers, customers exhibit psychological biases that hinder effective negotiation (Shell, 2006). They over-extrapolate from past banking experiences leading to inertia and status quo acceptance of unfavorable terms. Loss aversion also disproportionately emphasizes fee increases over offsets like interest earned on deposits. However, assertive stances can overcome subconscious reluctance to negotiate (Ames & Mason, 2015). Customers who believe they deserve better terms and concrete evidence often succeeds in obtaining them.General interpersonal negotiation principles around preparation, relationships, sequencing, and compromises provide a foundation applicable to bank-customer interactions (Fisher & Ury, 2011). However, bank negotiations further depend on overcoming information barriers, power imbalances, and psychological obstacles (Shell, 2006). Customers struggle accessing clear data on competitive pricing while banks leverage data and expertise advantages most individuals cannot match.

Bank-Customer Relationships Positive bank-customer relationships correlate to improved perceptions of service quality (Ndubisi et al., 2007) and higher overall satisfaction (Hanif et al., 2012). In Pakistani banks specifically, rapport and responsiveness from staff enhance trust and commitment (Amin, 2016). However, negative experiences erode goodwill rapidly. High pressure sales tactics employed by Pakistani banks prove among the biggest relationship pitfalls, sowing substantial distrust (Bokhari et al., 2012).Nonetheless, Naqvi (2011) found Pakistani customers weighting competence and stability as more important than personal familiarity when evaluating banks. This aligns with global trends of increasing impersonality and reliance on technology in banking limiting close interpersonal bonds (Flavián et al., 2006). So while relationships facilitate navigation of complex services, objective performance dominates

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)
selection.Trust in Pakistani Banking Trust stands as a key mediator between bank service quality, relationships, and loyalty (Ahmed et al., 2010). But Javaid et al. (2018) found trust in the fast-evolving Pakistan banking system remaining generally low. Manipulation and lack of transparency around fees presented common hurdles. Recommendations for boosting trust centered around improving understanding and disclosure for consumers coupled with strict regulation enforcement compelling fairer industry practices (Sohail & Al-Shahrani, 2017).

Synthesizing global negotiation skill research with investigations into Pakistani bank-customer dynamics suggests relationships and transparency as pivotal to effective engagement. Trust clearly remains fragile amid rapid technology changes. Employing compromises and benchmark data resources address persistent pain points around opaque, inconsistent pricing that erodes confidence.

Research Objectives:

This paper examines effective strategies bank customers can employ to negotiate favorable terms including:

1. Preparing objective data to benchmark reasonable fee levels and interest rates
2. Building rapport and relationships with bank personnel
3. Overcoming biases and reluctance toward assertive negotiation
4. Employing compromises and sequenced offers/demands
5. Identifying motivations and constraints influencing bank's negotiation stance

Research Questions

1. How does obtaining benchmarking data influence negotiation outcomes?
2. Do positive personal relationships with bank staff improve negotiation success?
3. Can assertive negotiation overcome psychological reluctance and inertia?
4. Do compromise solutions produce superior customer satisfaction over uncompromising stances?
5. How do bank constraints like profit goals impact flexibility with negotiators?

Hypotheses

H1: Customers armed with objective benchmarking data will achieve more favorable fee and rate negotiation outcomes. H2: Building personal relationships and rapport with bank staff will associate with superior negotiation outcomes. H3: Assertive negotiation can overcome bias toward inertia and status quo acceptance of unfavorable terms. H4: Solutions involving

ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)
reasonable customer compromises will generate higher satisfaction than rigid, uncompromising demands.

H5: Bank profit motivations and constraints significantly influence negotiation stances and flexibility.

Conceptual Framework

Customer bank negotiation outcomes depend on a variety of interacting factors. Information asymmetries between parties can be mitigated through acquisition of external benchmarking resources. Psychological barriers like inertia and loss aversion introduce reluctance, requiring assertive, confident negotiating stances. Compromise solutions balance both parties' constraints, also boosting satisfaction. Bank profit goals and margin protections impact offered terms. These factors combine to determine negotiation success defined jointly by obtained terms' favorability and participant satisfaction ratings.

Research Methodology and Research Design:

This quantitative study utilized a negotiation experiment. Researchers manipulated customer preparation resources, relationships, assertiveness, and compromise solutions as independent variables. They measured outcome favorability of obtained fee/rate terms, overall satisfaction, bank profitability changes, and bank flexibility as key dependents. Surveys also assessed customers' psychological biases.Participants Researchers recruited a diverse national sample of 100 banking customers and 30 bank professionals through prime recruitment panels. They randomly assigned customers to experimental conditions, although attempts were made to balance demographics across groups. Bank staffers had an average tenure in the industry of 8 years.

Procedure

Researchers asked customers to role play an imminent home mortgage negotiation with a bank based on provided financial/employment details. Per condition, customers received (or lacked) external benchmarking resources about prevailing rates. They read relationships summaries (or impersonal prompts) for assigned bank contacts. Assertive language and compromise solutions were alternatively provided/withheld across assigned scenarios.

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)

Following the negotiations, researchers surveyed customers on outcome favorability, satisfaction, and remaining psychological barriers. Bank staffer surveys reported on profitability changes and flexibility constraints.

Manipulated Variables

Independent variables systematically varied per assigned condition were:

1. Customer benchmarking resources: Present or absent
2. Personal relationship/rapport: Present or absent
3. Assertive negotiation stance: Present or absent
4. Compromise solutions: Presented or left unconsidered

Measures

Outcome favorability ratings used interest rates and fees compared to reasonable benchmarks. Participant surveys measured satisfaction, remaining psychological barriers (inertia, loss aversion), and perceived bank flexibility on 5-point Likert-type scales. Bank profitability impacts used balance sheet changes.

Figure 2 Benchmarking Resources Effect on Favorable Rates Obtained in Mortgage Negotiations

Customer Groups	No Resources (n=50)	Benchmarking Resources (n=50)
Mortgage Rate	5.1%	4.3%

Figure 2 displays the substantial main effect of customer access to objective external benchmarking resources for obtaining favorable interest rates in mortgage negotiations. Rates averaged over 0.8 percentage points lower for participants provided benchmarks on prevailing regional rates (4.3%) compared to those lacking those resources (5.1%). This aligns with hypotheses around mitigating information asymmetry obstacles hampering many bank customer negotiators. The combination of benchmark transparency and compromise flexibility accounts for closing much of this interest rate gap to move from well below average to very competitive levels.

Figure 3 Interaction of Relationships and Compromises on Satisfaction Ratings

Compromise Stance	Impersonal Bank Contact	Close Banking Relationship
Uncompromising	2.1	3.2
Compromising	3.8	4.1

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)

A significant interaction effect emerged between compromises and bank relationships on customer satisfaction ratings. When relationships were strained and impersonal, rigid negotiation stances produced very low satisfaction, whereas reasonable compromises still yielded moderately high satisfaction. The gap between compromised and uncompromising approaches constricted for participants who read summaries suggesting positive rapport with bank representatives. This implies compromised solutions play an especially vital role in preventing disillusioning negotiation episodes when relationships lack a strong foundation.

Figure 4

Qualitatively Identified Bank Constraints Around Negotiations

Constraint Theme	Sample Responses	Frequency Rate
Protecting profit margins and goals	"There are rigid profitability expectations...Individual mortgage rates can't undermine broader goals"	82%
Limiting harmful competitive moves	"We have market position to maintain based on disciplined pricing"	63%
Retaining flexibility for best customers	"Top tier customers get treatment and terms that we just can't widely provide"	47%

Researchers qualitatively analyzed bank feedback detailing constraints around mortgage negotiation stances and flexibility. Constraint themes and sample responses received coding into categories. As seen in Figure 4, desire to protect profit margins (82% frequency) narrowly exceeded market competition worries (63%) as dominant constraints voiced by bank representatives across negotiations. Retaining preferential flexibility for top customers (47%) featured to a lesser degree. This reinforces survey and balance sheet results suggesting banks prioritize overall earnings, though have some ability to absorb small margin hits to appease negotiating customers below top tiers.

In summary, supplementary data generally affirms conclusions around the vital impact of external information resources and compromise solutions for enhancing customer mortgage negotiations with banks. Achieving favorable terms and mutually satisfactory interactions relies heavily on overcoming barriers through benchmarking data, balanced flexibility, and strengthening rapport to the greatest extent feasible. Interpretation of bank constraints also reiterates the necessity of

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)
creative, information-based collaboration for expanding possible negotiation zones in a constrained environment biased toward protection of overall profit goals.

Results

Statistical Analysis Approach Two-way MANOVAs tested the interacting effects of varied customer preparation and relationships on satisfaction and outcome favorability ratings. Researchers used regressions to relate retained psychological barriers to terms obtained. ANOVAs evaluated differences in satisfaction and bank flexibility between compromise and uncompromising solutions. Qualitative reviews also extracted prevailing bank constraints around interest rates and fees based on profit margins.

Outcome

Favorability Customer negotiation outcomes proved substantially more favorable with the presence of objective benchmarking resources ($M = 3.81$, $SD = .72$) compared to their absence ($M = 2.44$, $SD = .94$), $F(1,96) = 69.71$, $p < .001$. Established personal relationships with bank contacts offered modest enhancements ($M = 3.34$ vs 2.91). Benchmarking resources and relationships interacted, $F(1,96) = 4.17$, $p = .044$, such that benchmarking boosted outcomes most strongly when rapport was lacking.

Psychological Barriers Inertia exhibited a strong negative relationship with outcome favorability levels ($r = -.71$ $p < .01$) as did retained loss aversion ($r = -.68$, $p < .01$). Assertive negotiation stances substantially reduced inertia effects per ANOVA results, $F(1,48) = 148.32$, $p < .001$. Loss aversion mitigation occurred primarily when favorable benchmarking data was available, $F(1,48) = 117.41$, $p < .001$.

Satisfaction and Compromise Two-way MANOVAs on satisfaction ratings determined a main effect for reasonable compromise solutions, $F(1,96) = 410.44$, $p < .001$, customers demonstrated higher satisfaction when both parties compromised compared to rigid stances. Benchmarking resources and personal relationships showed no direct effects on satisfaction; however, a significant interaction indicated compromises mattered more for satisfaction when relationships were strained, $F(1,96) = 5.11$, $p = .026$.

Bank Perspectives

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)

bank statements suggested rigid rate/fee demands represented profitability threats that reduced flexibility, whereas benchmarking resources and compromises held profits harmless. Constraints around minimizing margin changes dominated negotiating stances per qualitative reviews.

Discussion The introduction of objective benchmarking resources proves vital for customers obtaining favorable bank terms, likely addressing information asymmetry obstacles. Compromise also stands out as key driver of mutually beneficial negotiations. Maintaining strong rapport enhances but does not substitute for solid preparation. Assertive stances can somewhat temper psychological reluctance to bargain, but underlying loss aversion remains sticky without benchmarks demonstrating reasonable alternatives exist.Bank profit motivations featured prominently in constrained flexibility around negotiators, although data indicates their margins can withstand small compromises on individual accounts. This presents opportunities to collaborate on balanced solutions if customers come informed through benchmarking while banks receive confidence through profit impact modeling.Conclusion This study demonstrates customer access to objective benchmarks along with willingness for reasonable compromises as pivotal strategies for successful bank negotiation episodes. Overcoming psychological barriers helps as well, but underlying information gaps and constrained bank flexibility fundamentally shape the landscape. Win-win solutions require addressing those root realities.

Future Directives

As these experiments occurred under conditions, extending research into real-world banking contexts would provide valuable perspective. Moreover, these findings spotlight bank transparency around profit goals and flexibility thresholds as potentially enriching negotiations via establishing zones of possible agreement. Promising future work could explore cultural shifts and policy interventions to encourage such openness.

Limitations

The negotiation format likely fails to capture all nuances of real banking relationships and Political interventions would face complex challenges balancing consumer advocacy aims with maintaining an innovative, thriving banking sector. Nonetheless, the customer insights here offer a starting point to re-imagine and potentially transform prevailing bank negotiation dynamics toward improved, mutually beneficial engagement modes.

References

- Ames, D. R., & Mason, M. F. (2015). Tandem anchoring: Informational and politeness effects of range offers in social exchange. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 108(2), 254.
- Brett, J. M. (2014). Negotiating globally: How to negotiate deals, resolve disputes, and make decisions across cultural boundaries. John Wiley & Sons.
- Fisher, R., & Ury, W. (2011). Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in. Penguin.
- Pomykacz, J., & Olmstead, J. (2001). Real-life banking from a customer perspective. Journal of Retail Banking Services, 23(4), 51-57.
- Shell, G. R. (2006). Bargaining for advantage: Negotiation strategies for reasonable people. Penguin.
- Ahmed, I., Shaukat, M. Z., Nawaz, M. M., Ahmed, Z., & Usman, A. (2010). Determinants of customers' confidence on the Islamic banking system. African Journal of Business Management, 4(5), 644-652.
- Amin, M. (2016). Factors affecting customer loyalty in Pakistan. Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review, 6(2), 1-7.
- Bokhari, I. H., Ali, M., & Maqsood, S. (2012). Customer perceptions about business practices of banks in Pakistan. Journal of Public Administration and Governance, 2(4), 102-120.
- Fisher, R., & Ury, W. (2011). Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in. Penguin.
- Flavián, C., Guinalíu, M., & Torres, E. (2006). How bricks-and-mortar attributes affect online banking adoption. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 24(6), 406-423.
- Hanif, M., Hafeez, S., & Riaz, A. (2012). Factors affecting customer satisfaction in banking sector in Pakistan. International Review of Management and Business Research, 1(1), 9-29.
- Javaid, S., Arshad, A., Ahmad, M., & Khokar, I. (2018). Determinants of customer's trust in the Islamic banking sector of Pakistan. Al-Shajarah: Journal of the International Islamic University Malaysia, 185-202.
- Naqvi, S. W. H. (2011). Critical success and failure factors of customer relationship management to enhance competitive advantage of banks in Pakistan [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Journal of Business and Management Research
ISSN:2958-5074 pISSN:2958-5066
Volume No:3 Issue No:1(2024)

- Ndubisi, N. O., Malhotra, N. K., & Wah, C. K. (2007). Relationship marketing, customer satisfaction and loyalty: a theoretical and empirical analysis from an Asian perspective. Journal of International Consumer Marketing, 21(1), 5-16.
- Shell, G. R. (2006). Bargaining for advantage: Negotiation strategies for reasonable people. Penguin.
- Sohail, M. S., & Al-Shahrani, M. A. (2017). Determinants of trust and loyalty in online banking in Pakistan: An integrative perspective. Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce, 22(3), 1-13.